arXiv:1610.01469v1 [hep-ph] 4 Oct 2016

Searching for New Physics in Semi-Leptonic Baryon Decays

E. Di Salvo[a,b,1], Z. J. Ajaltouni[a,2]

[a] Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire de Clermont-Ferrand,
IN2P3/CNRS Université Blaise Pascal, F-63177 Aubière Cedex, France
[b] Dipartimento di Fisica Universitá di Genova
and I.N.F.N. - Sez. Genova,
Via Dodecaneso, 33, 16146 Genova, Italy

Abstract

We propose two different and complementary observables for singling out possible signals of physics beyond the standard model in the semi-leptonic decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. The two observables are the partial decay width and a T-odd asymmetry, whose respective sensitivities to scalar and/or pseudo-scalar coupling are calculated as functions of the parameters characterizing new physics. Various limiting cases are discussed.

PACS numbers: 13.30.Ce, 12.15.-y, 12.60.-i

[1] Elvio.Disalvo@ge.infn.it
[2] ziad@clermont.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction

The search for new physics (NP) beyond the standard model (SM), a major aim in high energy physics, is especially stimulating now, that the Higgs boson, the last ingredient of the SM, has been found[1, 2]. Indeed, the SM has achieved a resounding success, as a lot of data confirm its predictions and no unambiguous sign of NP has been found till now. However, that model presents several unsatisfactory aspects[3, 4]: it has to be regarded, at best, as an effective low-energy approximation[5] of the theory of the fundamental particles. Therefore, it is essential to realize experiments where, either a clear contradiction with the SM is found, or at least more stringent constraints on the physics beyond it are established.

Recently, tensions have been found between the SM predictions and the experimental values of some partial widths of semi-leptonic decays of B, like $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$[6, 7] and $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu_\ell$[8, 9, 10, 11]. However, such discrepancies are not definitive, owing to the experimental and theoretical errors, the latter ones being related to the transition form factors, which are affected by serious uncertainties[12, 13]. Therefore it is necessary to get confirmations from other channels whose basic processes are the same as in the above mentioned B decays, in particular, from Λ_b decays to $\Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$[13, 14] and to $\Lambda_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$[15, 16, 17, 18]. Indeed, the baryonic form factors may pose less difficulties than the corresponding mesonic ones[13], in any case they are calculated to an increasing precision[17, 19, 20].

Moreover, the above mentioned baryon decays involve spinning particles both in the initial and in the final state, which offers the opportunity of examining also another kind of observables, the T-odd asymmetries, based on triple product correlations. These were largely studied in the past decades[21, 22, 23] and were recommended for searching for time reversal violations (TRV) and, possibly, for NP[22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]; see ref. [29] for a more complete review. This method of analysis appears especially promising for the search for NP in semi-leptonic baryon decays, in that the T-odd asymmetry is vanishing according to the SM. Indeed, the final-state interactions, which cause biases to non-leptonic decays by fictitious T-ood interactions[29], are absent in this case. Therefore, a nonzero value of this observable would unambiguously indicate NP and direct TRV.

It is the aim of the present letter to suggest the application of this method to the decay

$$\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau. \tag{1}$$

If the parent resonance is polarized, or the polarization of the final baryon (or of the τ lepton) is measured, a nonzero T-odd asymmetry may be defined, *i. e.*,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{N^+ - N^-}{N^+ + N^-}, \tag{2}$$

where $N^\pm$ is the number of events for which the T-odd correlation

$$C = \mathbf{p}_f \times \mathbf{p}_\ell \cdot \mathbf{P} \tag{3}$$

is positive (negative). Here $\mathbf{p}_{f(\ell)}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ denote, respectively, the momentum of the final baryon (charged lepton) and the polarization vector, in the center-of-mass system of the initial baryon. For the asymmetry (2) to be nonzero, the above decay must derive contributions from at least two amplitudes - the SM one and some NP amplitude -, endowed with a nontrivial relative phase[21, 29]; morever, as we shall establish in the present letter, the NP coupling must be of the (pseudo-)scalar type.

We calculate the partial width and the T-odd asymmetry (2) of the decay (1), in the case of a polarized Λ_b. We assume the effective NP hamiltonian proposed by other authors[15, 16, 30]; however, for the sake of simplicity, we limit ourselves to analyzing the effects of a scalar and/or pseudo-scalar coupling. Indeed, as claimed above, the asymmetry is insensitive to the vector and to the axial coupling, so acting a selection of NP interactions[30]; furthermore the (pseudo)-scalar couplings seem to play an important role in possible NP of the $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\Phi$ and $B \to \Phi K_s^0$ decays[31].

The two observables mentioned above are expressed and studied as functions of parameters that characterize NP. As regards the baryonic form factor, we assume the Isgur-Wise[32] (IW) model: although more sophisticated expressions have been elaborated[15, 16, 33], it helps us to single out, in a simple, quantitatively satisfactory[20] way, the sensitivity to NP of the observables defined above; in this connection, see also refs. [34, 35, 36, 37].

The letter is organized as follows. In sect. 2, we give the general formulae concerning the differential decay width. Sects. 3 and 4 are dedicated to illustrating the behaviors, respectively, of the partial decay width and of the T-odd asymmetry, as functions of the parameters that characterize NP. Lastly, we draw some conclusions in sect. 5.

2 General Formulae

We consider the decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$, where ℓ is a charged lepton and $\bar{\nu}_\ell$ the corresponding anti-neutrino. According to the above assumptions, the decay amplitude reads as

$$\mathcal{M} = V_{cb}\zeta_0(q^2)(h_s J_\mu j^\mu + e^{i\varphi} h_n J j). \tag{4}$$

Here φ is the relative phase of the NP amplitude to the SM one. Moreover,

$$h_s = \frac{g^2}{8M_W^2} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad h_n = x h_s, \tag{5}$$

$$j_\mu = \bar{u}_\ell \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) v, \qquad j = \bar{u}_\ell (1-\gamma_5) v, \tag{6}$$

$$J_\mu = \bar{u}_f \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) u_i, \qquad J = \frac{q^\alpha}{\delta m_Q} \bar{u}_f \gamma_\alpha (1+\rho\gamma_5) u_i, \tag{7}$$

$$q = p_i - p_f = p_\ell + p. \tag{8}$$

The subscripts i, f and ℓ refer, respectively, to the initial and final baryon and to the charged lepton, while p (v) is the four-momentum (four-spinor) of the anti-neutrino.

$\zeta_0(q^2)$ is the form factor of the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$ transition in the Isgur-Wise model[32]. δm_Q and V_{cb} are, respectively, the difference between the masses of the active quarks (b and c in our case) and the CKM matrix element of that transition. G is the Fermi constant and $h_{s(n)}$ expresses the SM (NP) strength. Lastly, ρ is a complex parameter which determines the mixing of scalar and pseudo-scalar coupling. Our parameters are related in a simple way to the (complex) couplings of ref. [15]:

$$g_S = xe^{i\varphi}, \qquad\qquad g_P = \rho x e^{i\varphi}. \tag{9}$$

However, for the sake of simplicity, we limit ourselves to real values of ρ; in particular, we consider the following three limiting cases:

- if $\rho = 0$, only the scalar coupling is considered[15];

- the case $\rho \to \infty$, $x \to 0$, $x\rho \to z$, corresponds to a pure pseudo-scalar coupling term[15];

- the two-higgs doublet model is recovered for[38]

$$\rho = \frac{m_b - m_c}{m_b + m_c} \sim 0.57. \tag{10}$$

The observables that we study in this paper are derived from the differential decay width

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_i} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2 d\Phi, \tag{11}$$

where $d\Phi$ is the phase space and $\sum |\mathcal{M}|^2$ denotes average (sum) over the initial (final) polarizations of the particles involved. But we have

$$\sum |\mathcal{M}|^2 = |V_{cb}|^2 \frac{G^2}{2} \zeta_0^2(q^2)[H_{\mu\nu}\ell^{\mu\nu} + x^2 K_{\mu\nu} \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{(\delta m_Q)^2}\ell + \frac{2x}{\delta m_Q} \Re(\ell^\mu q^\nu I_{\mu\nu} e^{-i\varphi})]. \tag{12}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell^{\mu\nu} &= Tr[\not{p}\gamma^\nu(1-\gamma_5)(\not{p}_\ell + m_\ell)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)], && (13)\\
\ell^{\mu} &= Tr[\not{p}(1+\gamma_5)(\not{p}_\ell + m_\ell)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)], && (14)\\
\ell &= Tr[\not{p}(1+\gamma_5)(\not{p}_\ell + m_\ell)(1-\gamma_5)], && (15)\\
H_{\mu\nu} &= 1/2Tr[(\not{p}_i + m_i)(1+\gamma_5\not{s})\gamma_\nu(1-\gamma_5)(\not{p}_f + m_f)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)], && (16)\\
K_{\mu\nu} &= 1/2Tr[(\not{p}_i + m_i)(1+\gamma_5\not{s})\gamma_\mu(1+\rho\gamma_5)(\not{p}_f + m_f)\gamma_\nu(1+\rho\gamma_5)], && (17)\\
I_{\mu\nu} &= 1/2Tr[(\not{p}_i + m_i)(1+\gamma_5\not{s})\gamma_\nu(1+\rho\gamma_5)(\not{p}_f + m_f)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)], && (18)
\end{aligned}$$

s being the polarization four-vector of the Λ_b, $s \equiv (0, \mathbf{P})$ in the Λ_b rest frame, with $|\mathbf{P}| \leq 1$. Performing calculations and substituting into eq. (11) yields

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_i}|V_{cb}|^2 \frac{G^2}{2} \zeta_0^2(q^2)[2^7 R_s + 2^5 x \frac{m_\ell}{\delta m_Q}(R_i^e cos\varphi + R_i^o sin\varphi) + 2^4 \frac{x^2}{(\delta m_Q)^2} R_n] d\Phi, \tag{19}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_s &= p\cdot p_i\ p_\ell\cdot p_f - m_i p\cdot s\ p_\ell\cdot p_f, && (20)\\
R_i^e &= m_f(1+\rho)(p\cdot p_i\ s\cdot q - q\cdot p_i\ s\cdot p + m_i p\cdot q)\\
&+ (1-\rho)[q\cdot p_i\ p_f\cdot p + q\cdot p_f\ p_i\cdot p - p_i\cdot p_f\ q\cdot p\\
&+ m_i(s\cdot p_f\ p\cdot q - s\cdot p\ p_f\cdot q\ - s\cdot q\ p_f\cdot p)], && (21)\\
R_i^o &= -[m_i(1-\rho) - m_f(1+\rho)]\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}s^\alpha p_f^\beta p_i^\mu p^\nu, && (22)\\
R_n &= p\cdot p_\ell\ [(2q\cdot p_i\ q\cdot p_f - q^2 p_f\cdot p_i)(1+\rho^2)\\
&+ 2m_i\rho(2q\cdot p_f\ s\cdot q - q^2 p_f\cdot s) + m_i m_f(1-\rho^2)q^2]. && (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

3 Partial Decay Width

In order to determine this observable, we have to integrate the expression (19) over the whole phase space and to average over the spin states of the initial baryon. To this end, we adopt a frame where the parent resonance is at rest. The calculation, whose details are given in the Appendix, yields

$$\Gamma = \frac{|V_{cb}|^2 G^2}{2^7\pi^3 m_i}\int_{m_f}^{E_f^m} dE_f \zeta_0^2(q^2)\int_{E_\ell^-}^{E_\ell^+} dE_\ell J_0(E_f,E_\ell). \tag{24}$$

Here

$$J_0(E_f,E_\ell) = 2^7\bar{R}_s + 2^5 x\frac{m_\ell}{\delta m_Q}\bar{R}_i^e cos\varphi + 2^4\frac{x^2}{(\delta m_Q)^2}\bar{R}_n, \tag{25}$$

where the barred quantities are obtained from eqs. (20), (21) and (23) by taking into account four-momentum conservation and spin average, as illustrated in the Appendix: they depend just on the energies E_f and E_ℓ, respectively, of the final hadron and of the charged lepton in the Λ_b rest frame. As regards the IW form factor, we adopt the one inferred by ref. [35], *i. e.*,

$$\zeta_0^2(q^2) = \tilde{\zeta}_0^2[\omega(q^2)] = 1 - 1.47(\omega-1) + 0.95(\omega-1)^2, \tag{26}$$

with

$$\omega = \frac{m_i^2 + m_f^2 - q^2}{2m_i m_f}. \tag{27}$$

The limits of integration are deduced in the Appendix, using four-momentum conservation. Lastly, the numerical inputs are[39] $G = 1.166379\cdot 10^{-5}\ GeV^{-2}$, $m_i = 5.619\ GeV$ and $m_f = 2.286\ GeV$. For $\ell = \tau$, setting $m_\ell = 1.777\ GeV$, the numerical integration yields

$$\Gamma_\tau(x;\rho,\varphi) = \Gamma_\tau^S[1 + xcos\varphi(0.695\rho - 0.465) + x^2(0.296 + 0.058\rho^2)], \tag{28}$$

where

$$\Gamma_\tau^S = 5.636\cdot 10^{-15} GeV \tag{29}$$

is the SM prediction for the partial width of the decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$.

If we consider a light lepton, we set $m_\ell \to 0$, which, according to the SM prediction, yields

$$\Gamma_\ell^S = 2.899 \cdot 10^{-14} GeV, \tag{30}$$

in agreement with the experimental value,

$$\Gamma_\ell^{exp} = (2.95^{+1.45}_{-1.14}) \cdot 10^{-14} GeV [39]. \tag{31}$$

Insertion of the mass of the muon does not substantially change the result. The ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma_\tau^S}{\Gamma_\ell^S} \simeq 0.194 \tag{32}$$

differs from the one found by other authors[15], ~ 0.29; however, the two values are compatible within the experimental error of Γ_ℓ^{exp}, eq. (31).

We consider the ratio

$$R = \frac{\Gamma_\tau - \Gamma_\tau^S}{\Gamma_\tau^S} \tag{33}$$

and show in fig. 1 the behavior of R as a function of x (z) and of φ, in the case of only (pseudo-)scalar coupling. It is worth noting that the scalar coupling induces negative values of R for some values of φ, analogously to the result of those authors[15].

We show in Table 1 the values of x (z) allowed according to the analysis of ref. [15] in the (pseudo)-scalar case, and the corresponding values of R: our choice to limit ourselves to $|\varphi| \leq \pi/2$ entails to consider, for the scalar coupling, only the boundary value of x for any φ, while, in the pseudo-scalar case, an interval of z-values is taken into account.

In the case of charged higgs exchange, eq. (10), the ratio (33) is negligibly small for small x, but it increases up to (25-30)% for $x \sim 1$ and $\varphi \sim \pi/2$.

4 T-odd Asymmetry

The observable (2) corresponds to the ratio

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{\Gamma_\tau}, \tag{34}$$

where $\Delta\Gamma = \Gamma^+ - \Gamma^-$ and $\Gamma^\pm$ is calculated by integrating the third term of eq. (19) over the half-space where the correlation (3) is positive (negative). We show in the Appendix that

$$\Delta\Gamma = -\frac{xG^2}{2^3\pi^2} \frac{m_\ell H(\rho)}{\delta m_q} |V_{cb}|^2 |\mathbf{P}| sin\varphi \int_{m_f}^{E_f^m} dE_f \zeta_0^2(q^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}} (c - \frac{b^2}{4a}), \tag{35}$$



Figure 1: The ratio (33) as a function of $x(z)$: see text for definitions. Upper panels: $\varphi = \pi/2$; in the 1^{st} (2^{nd}) one, only the (pseudo-)scalar coupling is considered. Lower panels: the same, with $\varphi = \pi/3$.

Here

$$H(\rho) = m_f - m_i + (m_f + m_i)\rho, \tag{36}$$

$$a = -q^2 = 2m_i E_f - m_i^2 - m_f^2, \tag{37}$$

$$b = 2m_i E_f^2 (2m_i^2 + M^2) E_f + M^2 m_i, \tag{38}$$

$$c = -(m_i^2 + m_\ell^2) E_f^2 + m_i M^2 E_f + m_f^2 m_\ell^2 - \frac{1}{4} M^4 \tag{39}$$

and $M^2 = m_i^2 + m_f^2 + m_\ell^2$.

The numerical integration yields, for $\ell = \tau$,

$$\Delta\Gamma = 2.89 x (3.333 - 7.905\rho) sin\varphi |\mathbf{P}| \cdot 10^{-16}\ GeV, \tag{40}$$

having used for the masses the numerical values of the previous section. Substituting eqs. (40) and (28) into eq. (34), we get

$$\mathcal{A} = 0.17 \frac{x(1 - 2.372\rho) sin\varphi |\mathbf{P}|}{1 + x cos\varphi (0.695\rho - 0.465) + x^2 (0.296 + 0.058\rho^2)}, \tag{41}$$

whose behavior is represented in Fig. 2, as well as in Table 1, assuming a polarization $|\mathbf{P}| = 1$.

The asymmetry (41) vanishes for $\rho = 0.422$, as can be easily verified. This value is not far from the value (10), corresponding to 2HDM, which, indeed, gives rise to a small, negative asymmetry, such that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 5\%$ for $x \leq 1$.

Lastly, it is straightforward to show that, in the case of NP vector or axial coupling[15, 16], the T-odd asymmetry would vanish. In this connection, we observe that, in the helicity formalism[15], the interference term between the SM amplitude and the NP amplitude appears explicitly only as regards the scalar and the pseudo-scalar coupling.



Figure 2: The T-odd asymmetry (41) as a function of $x(z)$. Upper panels: $\varphi = \pi/2$; in the 1^{st} (2^{nd}) one, only the (pseudo-)scalar coupling is considered. Lower panels: the same, with $\varphi = \pi/3$. A polarization $|\mathbf{P}| = 1$ is assumed.

Table 1: Functions R and $\mathcal{A}$ in the ranges allowed by ref. [15], $|\varphi| \leq \pi/2$: scalar (S, x) and pseudo-scalar (P, z) coupling.

φ	x	R (S)	$\mathcal{A}$ (S)	z	R (P)	$\mathcal{A}$ (P)
0.0	0.40	-0.14	0.00	0.50 —2.50	0.36 —2.10	0.00
$\pi/6$	0.43	-0.12	0.04	0.56 —2.63	0.35 —1.98	-0.08 —-0.18
$\pi/3$	0.55	-0.04	0.09	0.77 —3.03	0.30 —1.59	-0.21 —-0.41
$\pi/2$	0.80	+0.19	0.11	1.32 —3.71	0.10 —0.80	-0.48 —-0.83

5 Conclusions

We have studied the sensitivity to NP - precisely, to the scalar and/or pseudo-scalar coupling - of the partial width and of the T-odd asymmetry (2) of the decay (1). The sensitive quantities, denoted, respectively, as R, eq. (33), and as $\mathcal{A}$, eq. (41), are expressed as functions of the real parameters x, φ and ρ, examining three particular cases. As shown in Table 1, if only the pseudo-scalar coupling is considered, both R and $\mathcal{A}$ may assume quite large values, whereas the scalar coupling gives rise to more limited values of such observables. As regards R, this agrees with the previous analysis[15].

However, important differences emerge between the two observables:

- The asymmetry can be detected only if the Λ_b is sizably polarized.

- R is even with respect to φ, while $\mathcal{A}$ is odd.

- $\mathcal{A}$ is negligible for small $|\varphi|$, while R is especially sensitive to NP; *vice-versa*, for $|\varphi| \simeq \pi/2$, R decreases considerably and $\mathcal{A}$ becomes rather large.

- $\mathcal{A}$ depends strongly on the degree of mixing between the scalar and the pseudo-scalar coupling: it may assume large values if only the pseudo-scalar one is present, while it is limited if only the scalar coupling is considered and it is quite small in the case of charged higgs exchange. On the contrary, R may be sizable also in these last two cases.

- R is sensitive to the NP vector and axial coupling, as well as to scalar and to pseudo-scalar one[15, 16], unlike $\mathcal{A}$; this may be exploited in order to distinguish among various types of new interactions, similarly to the observables singled out in refs. [30, 25]

To summarize, both the partial decay width and the T-odd asymmetry may be sensitive to the physics beyond the SM, according to the kind of interaction we are faced with, and according to the values of the parameters that characterize NP. In particular, the asymmetry provides complementary information with respect to the partial decay width; at least, it may help to set restrictions on the physics beyond the SM. Lastly, our method can be extended to other semi-leptonic decays of beauty baryons and, with minimal changes, also to the case when the polarization of the final baryon is detected.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (EDS) is grateful to his friend F. Fontanelli for useful suggestions and discussions.

Appendix

Here we calculate the partial width and the T-odd asymmetry of the decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$. To this end, we integrate the expression (19) over the whole phase space, or over suitable portions of it.

A) Partial Decay Width

As regards the partial width, we have to integrate the differential decay width (19) over the whole phase space, averaging over the spin states of Λ_b. We get

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_i}|V_{cb}|^2 \frac{G^2}{2} \int d\Phi \zeta_0^2(q^2) J(\mathbf{p}_f, \mathbf{p}_\ell), \tag{A. 1}$$

where

$$J(\mathbf{p}_f, \mathbf{p}_\ell) = 2^7 R_s + 2^5 x \frac{m_\ell}{\delta m_Q} R_i^e cos\varphi + 2^4 \frac{x^2}{(\delta m_Q)^2} R_n \tag{A. 2}$$

and R_s, R_i^e and R_n correspond to eqs. (20), (21) and (23), after taking spin average. In a reference frame at rest with respect to Λ_b, we have

$$d\Phi = \frac{d^3p}{2(2\pi)^3|\mathbf{p}|} \frac{d^3p_f}{2(2\pi)^3 E_f} \frac{d^3p_\ell}{2(2\pi)^3 E_\ell} (2\pi)^4 \delta^3(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_f + \mathbf{p}_\ell) \delta(m_i - E_f - E_\ell - |\mathbf{p}|). \tag{A. 3}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
R_s &= m_i(E_f E_l - \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell)(m_i - E_f - E_\ell), && \text{(A. 4)}\\
R_i^e &= m_i[(1+\rho)\mathcal{S}_1 + (1-\rho)\mathcal{S}_2], && \text{(A. 5)}\\
\mathcal{S}_1 &= m_f[m_i(m_i - 2E_f - E_\ell) + m_f^2 + E_f E_\ell - \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell], && \text{(A. 6)}\\
\mathcal{S}_2 &= (m_i - E_f)(m_i E_f - E_f E_\ell - m_f^2 + \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell)\\
&+ (m_i - E_f - E_\ell)(m_i E_f - m_f^2)\\
&- E_f[m_i(m_i - 2E_f - E_\ell) + m_f^2 + E_f E_\ell - \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell], && \text{(A. 7)}\\
R_n &= m_i[E_\ell(m_i - E_f) - m_\ell^2 + \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell]\{(1+\rho^2)\\
&\times [2(m_i - E_f)(m_i E_f - m_f^2) - E_f(m_i^2 + m_f^2 - 2m_i E_f)]\\
&+ (1-\rho^2) m_f (m_i^2 + m_f^2 - 2m_i E_f)\}. && \text{(A. 8)}
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, four-momentum conservation entails

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell &= M^2 - 2m_i(E_f + E_\ell) + 2E_f E_\ell, && \text{(A. 9)}\\
\mathbf{p}^2 &= \mathbf{p}_f^2 + \mathbf{p}_\ell^2 + 2\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell, && \text{(A. 10)}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$M^2 = m_i^2 + m_f^2 + m_\ell^2, \tag{A. 11}$$

having used the same notations as in the text for the various particles involved. Note that the scalar product $\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{p}_\ell$ is just a function of E_f and E_ℓ; therefore, taking account of the expressions R_s, R_i^e and R_n, we shall substitute, from now on,

$$J(\mathbf{p}_f, \mathbf{p}_\ell) \to J_0(E_f, E_\ell). \tag{A. 12}$$

Setting $\varepsilon = E_f + E_\ell + |\mathbf{p}| - m_i$, and defining θ as the angle between $\mathbf{p}_f$ and $\mathbf{p}_\ell$ and ϕ as the azimuthal angle of $\mathbf{p}_\ell$, we get

$$d^3p_\ell \; \delta(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{p}_\ell^2 dp_\ell \; d\phi \; dcos\theta \; \delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_\ell||\mathbf{p}|}{|\mathbf{p}_f|} \; dp_\ell \; d\phi \; d\varepsilon \; \delta(\varepsilon); \tag{A. 13}$$

here we have exploited the fact that $d\varepsilon/dcos\theta = d|\mathbf{p}|/dcos\theta = |\mathbf{p}_f||\mathbf{p}_\ell|/|\mathbf{p}|$.

Moreover, the relation $E_f = \sqrt{m_f^2 + \mathbf{p}_f^2}$ implies

$$dE_f = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_f| dp_f}{E_f}, \tag{A. 14}$$

an analogous equation holding for dE_ℓ. Inserting eqs. (A. 12) to (A. 14) into the integral (A. 1), and taking account that $q^2 = m_i^2 + m_f^2 - 2m_i E_f$, yields

$$\Gamma = \frac{|V_{cb}|^2 G^2}{2^7 \pi^3 m_i} \int_{m_f}^{E_f^m} dE_f \zeta_0^2(q^2) \int_{E_\ell^-}^{E_\ell^+} dE_\ell J_0(E_f, E_\ell). \tag{A. 15}$$

The limits of integration for E_ℓ are deduced from eq. (A. 9), setting $cos\theta = \pm 1$:

$$E_\ell^\pm = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}, \qquad \Delta = b^2 - 4ac, \tag{A. 16}$$

$$a = -q^2 = 2m_i E_f - m_i^2 - m_f^2, \tag{A. 17}$$

$$b = 2m_i E_f^2 - (2m_i^2 + M^2) E_f + M^2 m_i, \tag{A. 18}$$

$$c = -(m_i^2 + m_\ell^2) E_f^2 + m_i M^2 E_f + m_f^2 m_\ell^2 - \frac{1}{4} M^4. \tag{A. 19}$$

As regards the upper limit E_f^m, imposing $\mathbf{p}_\ell = 0$ yields

$$E_f^m = \sqrt{m_f^2 + p_m^2}, \qquad p_m = \frac{1}{2}(m_i - m_\ell - \frac{m_1^2}{m_i - m_2}). \tag{A. 20}$$

B) T-odd Asymmetry

This asymmetry is defined by the fraction (34) in the text. The denominator has been calculated in the previous section of this Appendix. In order to calculate the numerator, we have to fix the azimuthal plane for $\mathbf{p}_\ell$ in the rest frame of Λ_b. To this end, we choose the z-axis along $\mathbf{p}_f$ and define the azimuthal plane as the one singled out by the vectors

$\mathbf{p}_f$ and $\mathbf{p}_f \times \mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{P}$ being the polarization vector of the parent resonance. Recalling eq. (22), we have

$$\Delta\Gamma = 2\frac{1}{2m_i}|V_{cb}|^2\frac{G^2}{2}2^5 x\frac{m_\ell}{\delta m_Q}sin\varphi\int d\Phi'[\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} - \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2}]d\phi\ \zeta_0^2(q^2)R_i^o, \qquad \text{(A. 21)}$$

$$R_i^o = H(\rho)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}s^\alpha p_f^\beta p_i^\mu p^\nu = H(\rho)m_i\ \mathbf{p}_\ell \times \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{P}, \qquad \text{(A. 22)}$$

$$H(\rho) = m_f(1+\rho) - m_i(1-\rho). \qquad \text{(A. 23)}$$

$d\Phi'$ is defined in such a way that $d\Phi' d\phi = d\Phi$. In the frame defined above, it results

$$R_i^o = -m_i|\mathbf{P}||\mathbf{p}_\ell||\mathbf{p}_f|sin\theta_f sin\theta cos\phi, \qquad \text{(A. 24)}$$

θ_f being the angle between $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{p}_f$. Moreover, eq. (A. 9) implies

$$cos\theta = \frac{N(E_f, E_\ell)}{2|\mathbf{p}_f||\mathbf{p}_\ell|}, \qquad N(E_f, E_\ell) = M^2 - 2m_i(E_f + E_\ell) + 2E_f E_\ell. \qquad \text{(A. 25)}$$

Proceeding analogously to the previous integration, we get

$$\Delta\Gamma = -\frac{xG^2}{2^3\pi^3}\frac{m_\ell H(\rho)}{\delta m_q}|V_{cb}|^2|\mathbf{P}|sin\varphi\int_{m_f}^{E_f^m} dE_f\zeta_0^2(q^2)\int_{E_\ell^-}^{E_\ell^+} dE_\ell(aE_\ell^2 + bE_\ell + c)^{1/2}, \qquad \text{(A. 26)}$$

a, b and c being given by eqs. (A. 17) to (A. 19). The integration over E_ℓ - performed between the two zeroes of the integrand, according to eqs. (A. 16) - yields

$$\Delta\Gamma = -\frac{xG^2}{2^3\pi^2}\frac{m_\ell H(\rho)}{\delta m_q}|V_{cb}|^2|\mathbf{P}|sin\varphi\int_{m_f}^{E_f^m} dE_f\zeta_0^2(q^2)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}}(c - \frac{b^2}{4a}). \qquad \text{(A. 27)}$$

References

[1] P. Azzurri, CMS Coll.: *Nuovo Cim. C* **036** (2013) 356

[2] ATLAS Coll.: ATLAS-CONF-2013-012, CERN (2013)

[3] M.E. Peskin: *Annalen der Phys.* **528** (2016) 20

[4] J.M. Butterworth: arXiv:1601.02759 [hep-ex]

[5] G. Altarelli: *Phys. Scripta* **T158** (2013) 014011

[6] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 191801

[7] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 151601

[8] J.P. Lees *et al.*, BaBar Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 101802

[9] J.P. Lees *et al.*, BaBar Coll.: *Phys. Rev. D***88** (2013) 072012

[10] M. Huschle *et al.*, Belle Coll.: *Phys. Rev. D***92** (2015) 072014

[11] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 111803

[12] W. Altmannshofer and D.M. Straub: *Eur. Phys. Jou. C* **75** (2015) 382

[13] W. Detmold and S. Meinel: *Phys. Rev. D***93** (2016) 074501

[14] T. Gutsche *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D***87** (2013) 074031

[15] S. Shivashankara *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D***91** (2015) 115003

[16] R. Dutta: *Phys. Rev. D***93** (2016) 054003

[17] T. Gutsche *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D***91** (2015) 074001

[18] N. Habyl *et al.*: *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **39** (2015) 1560112

[19] W. Detmold *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D***87** (2013) 074502

[20] F. Jugeau *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D***86** (2012) 014002

[21] G. Valencia: *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 3339

[22] E. Golowich and G. Valencia: *Phys. Rev. D* **40** (1989) 112

[23] L. Wolfenstein: *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 151

[24] W. Bensalem and D. London: *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 116003

[25] W. Bensalem, A. Datta and D. London: *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 094004

[26] S. Arunagiri and C.Q. Geng: *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 017901

[27] M. Gronau and J.L. Rosner: *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 096013

[28] M. Gronau and J.L. Rosner: *Phys. Lett. B* **749** (2015) 104

[29] Z.J. Ajaltouni and E. Di Salvo: *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. E* **22** (2013) 1330006

[30] A. Datta *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 034027

[31] See refs. 14 of [30]

[32] N. Isgur and M. Wise: *Nucl. Phys. B* **348** (1991) 276

[33] W. Detmold *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 034503

[34] A. Le Yaouanc *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 014023

[35] H.-W. Ke *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 014020

[36] P. Guo *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 054017

[37] B. Koenig *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 4282

[38] X.-W. Kang *et al.*: *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. A* **26** (2011) 2523

[39] K.A. Olive *et al.*: *Chin. Phys. C***38** (2014) 090001